

From: TKOREN1@aol.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/26/02 5:33pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

To: Renata B. Hesse
Antitrust Division
United States Department of Justice
601 D Street NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20530-0001

From: Tedd Koren,DC
PO Box 665
Gwynedd Valley, PA 19437-0665
215-699-7906

Dear Ms. Hesse,

I would like to see true justice served in the Microsoft case that is a win-win for everyone. Too often a solution can make matters worse. I feel the following should be considered:

1. The proposed settlement is not in the public interest. The settlement leaves the Microsoft monopoly intact. It is vague and unenforceable. It leaves Microsoft with numerous opportunities to exempt itself from crucial provisions.
2. The proposed settlement ignores the all-important applications barrier to entry which must be reduced or eliminated. Any settlement or order needs to provide ways for consumers to run any of the 70,000 existing Windows applications on any other operating system.
3. Consumers need a la carte competition and choice so they, not Microsoft, decide what products are on their computers. The settlement must provide ways for any combination of non-Microsoft operating systems, applications, and software components to run properly with Microsoft products.
4. The remedies proposed by the Plaintiff Litigating States are in the public interest and absolutely necessary, but they are not sufficient without the remedies mentioned above.
5. The court must hold public proceedings under the Tunney Act, and these proceedings must give citizens and consumer groups an equal opportunity to participate, along with Microsoft's competitors and customers.

Sincerely,

Tedd Koren, DC

